

ORGANISING CAPACITY, DIVISION OF ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES BETWEEN MA, CITIES AND FUNDS



Fiona Wieland Policy Officer DG REGIO 3 October 2016, Bucharest



Empowerment of Urban Authorities



- Designation of cities as IBs
- Agreements/written record
- > Audit
- Separation of functions
- > Selection of projects
- Examples of selection criteria





Designation of urban authorities as Intermediate Bodies

With the delegation of the selection of projects the city acts as an Intermediate Body

Only with respect to the functions delegated to them

- ✓ Arrangements between MA and the urban authorities (recorded in writing)
- ✓ Adequate procedures related to the delegated functions are in place (both levels)

In place before the start of the selection process





Basic principle: CLEAR DIVISION OF TASKS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Examples of arrangements to think about up front as well

✓ Existing knowledge base on ERDF? Trainings needed?

✓ Working instructions: arrangements are essential but how do these work out in practise?

✓ How to communicate and share information? Common

ICT system

It is all about building TRUST Creating an environment where people trust and help each other



Scope of Audit requirements



> IBs (urban authorities) are covered by the system audits and audit of projects

Only with respect to the functions delegated to them (in most cases only selection)

✓ Compliance with requirements of the management and control systems

To the extent they are linked to the delegated tasks





Separation of functions

Urban Authority is IB AND also beneficiary

✓ Arrangements should be in place to ensure separation of functions

KEY ELEMENT is that functions delegated by the MA to the IB are allocated to units/departments within the urban authority that are not directly involved with the beneficiary's responsibilities

City as an IB= selection
City as a beneficiary = preparation/implementation

Selection of projects



- ✓ Monitoring Committee: approving a methodology and criteria for selection
- ✓ Urban Authority: actual ranking and selection
 - ✓ Assessment of the quality
 - ✓ Relevance to the integrated urban strategy and/or SUMP

+ other tasks can be added like verification (compliance with law). This depends on the capacity an urban authority has. Verifications can as well be performed by the MA (or another IB on its behalf)

MAs retain the right to undertake a final verification of eligibility before approval.



Examples of selection criteria

- 1. Degree to which the project contributes to achieving the objectives of the sustainable urban strategy (e.g. CO2 emissions, desegregation, economic revitalisation, etc.)
- 2. Adequacy of the project (e.g. evaluated by the responsible city department)
- 3. Societal relevance, including equality and nondiscrimination
- 4. Long lasting effects and impact in the urban area
- 5. Contribution of the project to the improvement of the quality of life in the city/ deprived area
- 6. Degree of involvement of local stakeholders
- 7. Contribution of the project to support cooperation within the functional urban area



Learning by doing



- ✓ Do not reinvent the wheel
- ✓ Lot of knowledge is existing
- ✓ Involve the people for who you do this
- **✓ Use TAIEX**
- √ Join the UDN events
- ✓ We are there to support you!

See you in Brussels on the 23 NOV to join us at the event on Urban Mobility!

REGIO-UDN@ec.europa.eu

